LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

COMMENTS ON "COMPUTING CRITICAL SPEEDS FOR ROTATING MACHINES WITH SPEED DEPENDENT BEARING PROPERTIES"

S.-W. HONG

School of Mechanical Engineering, Kumoh National University of Technology, 188 Shinpyung, Kumi, Kyungbuk 730-701, Korea

AND

C.-W. LEE

Center for Noise and Vibration Control (NOVIC), Department of Mechanical Engineering, Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology, Science Town, Taejon 305-701, Korea

The writers found the title paper by Friswell *et al.* [1] interesting. The proposed "fictitious system", which has a set of dummy freedoms to incorporate the speed dependent properties of bearings, is surely of great use for critical speed and unbalance response analysis of rotor-bearing systems. However, the purpose of this letter is to mention several important papers that must have been inadvertently missed by the authors of the title paper.

It is quite surprising to the writers that the authors do not refer at all to the papers that have dealt with exactly the same topic as the title paper. Reference [2] presented an efficient unbalance response and critical speed computation technique for rotor-bearing systems with rotational speed dependent parameters. It is believed that the formulation proposed in reference [2] is a generalization of the formulation presented by the authors. In reference [2], it was shown that the rotational speed dependent eigenvalue problem can be transformed into the rotational speed independent eigenvalue problem by introducing a lambda matrix, assuming the bearing dynamic coefficients are well approximated by polynomial functions of rotational speed.

The theory developed in reference [2] contains the fundamental ideas of the title paper: for example, "polynomial fits of bearing parameters" and "dummy freedoms". Indeed, not only polynomial fits for bearings were employed but also the increase of the size of the eigenvalue problem with increasing the orders of polynomials for bearings was extensively discussed in reference [2]. In addition, the formulation in reference [2] is more general than that in reference [1]: it does not confine itself to specific orders of polynomials for bearings. Reference [2] highlighted a generalized modal analysis procedure as well for unbalance response analysis of rotor-bearing systems with the eigensolutions from the aforementioned eigenvalue problem. However, the unbalance response analysis

method by using a modal decomposition was not developed in the title paper. The method proposed in reference [2] has been further extended in references [3, 4] to account for general, harmonic response analysis of rotor-bearing systems with rotational speed dependent parameters. In particular, one chapter in reference [4] is dedicated to treatment of the topic of interest in a systematic way. Moreover, it was shown in reference reference [5] that a similar approach could easily be applied for the analysis of *frequency dependent parameter* systems.

REFERENCES

1. M. I. FRISWELL, S. D. GARVEY, J. E. T. PENNY and M. G. SMART 1998 *Journal of Sound and Vibration* **213**, 139–158. Computing critical speeds for rotating machines with speed dependent bearing properties.
2. S.-W. HONG and C.-W. LEE 1988 *Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on Vibrations in Rotating Machinery, Edinburgh, U.K.*, 539–543. Unbalance response analysis of rotor bearing systems with spin speed dependent parameters.
3. C.-W. LEE and S.-W. HONG 1990 *International Journal of Analytical and Experimental Modal Analysis* **5**, 51–65 Asynchronous harmonic response analysis of rotor bearing systems.
4. C.-W. LEE 1993 *Vibration Analysis of Rotors*. Dordrecht Kluwer Academic. See Chapter 6.
5. S.-W. HONG and C.-W. LEE 1988 *Journal of Sound and Vibration* **127**, 365–378. Frequency and time domain analysis of linear systems with frequency dependent parameters.

AUTHORS' REPLY

M. I. FRISWELL

Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Wales Swansea, Singleton Park, Swansea SA2 8PP, U.K.

S. D. GARVEY AND J. E. T. PENNY

Dynamics, Control and Vibration Research Group, Department of Mechanical and Electrical Engineering, Aston University, Birmingham B4 7ET, England

AND

M. G. SMART

Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Wales Swansea, Swansea SA2 8PP, Wales

The authors thank Dr Hong and Professor Lee for their comments and for bringing their references to our attention. In our original paper we indicated our impression that the approach of fitting a polynomial to speed dependent bearing coefficients was not new, but that we were not aware of any suitable references. That omission has now been corrected. However, the polynomial fitting

approach was not central to the argument in our paper but was used as an introduction to our method and also as a baseline for a comparison of the effectiveness of our proposed method.

The view of Dr Hong and Professor Lee that their method is a generalization of ours is, in fact, incorrect. Indeed if the mass, damping and stiffness properties of our "fictitious" degrees of freedom are chosen suitably then the polynomial approximation to the bearing coefficients is recovered. Thus, our approach is a generalization of their's. Although the polynomial fitting approach does introduce extra degrees of freedom, these extra degrees of freedom are constrained to be derivatives of the physical degrees of freedom. Hence, the properties of these degrees of freedom may not be fully utilized to obtain a good fit to the bearing characteristics. In our approach, the extra freedom in the curve fitting process means that the bearing coefficients are curve fit to a rational polynomial function. Often a polynomial of high degree is required to fit bearing coefficients accurately, as demonstrated in our paper, whereas a low degree rational polynomial usually fits well. Furthermore, our paper showed that polynomial approximations can produce spurious (or numerical) critical speeds within the running range. We believe that the better fit to the bearing coefficients and the associated computational savings, together with the lack of spurious critical speeds introduced, make our method a significant generalization and improvement on the polynomial fit approach.

Dr Hong and Professor Lee also mention the use of the expanded system with extra "fictitious" degrees of freedom to calculate the unbalance response via the eigenvalue decomposition. As stated in the introduction to our paper, this calculation is straightforward once the expanded system matrices have been set up. We did not think, and still do not think, that this extension is sufficiently great to warrant detailed consideration.